

OCT 25 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICEIn re the application of: **Roger V. Maes**Serial No.: **10/802,091**Filed: **March 15, 2004****Title: PORTABLE VISE AND SAW
COMBINATION**Attorney Docket No. **7216-002US**Group Art Unit: **3724**Examiner: **Ghassem, Alie**Telephone: **571-272-4501**Date of Faxing: **Oct. 25, 2005**Fax #: **703 - 872 - 9306**

Certification under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being sent by facsimile to Examiner Alie Ghassem at 703-872-9306 on October 25, 2005; Total pages: **1**Name: **Betty Oppenheimer** Signature: **Response to Office Action Dated October 20, 2005****Election of Group 1, Claims 1-8, with Traverse****This Case is Special****Mail Stop Amendments
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450**

Sir:

In response to the Restriction Requirement (in Office Action dated Oct 20, 2005), due on Nov 21, 2005, Applicant elects, with traverse, the apparatus invention of Group I, claims 1 - 8.

It is Applicant's view that the method invention of Group II, claims 9 and 10, being drawn to the method of operation of the apparatus of Group I should be classified with Group I. There will be no different search, and dividing this application into two cases is a financial hardship on an elderly inventor having very limited means.


Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the Restriction Requirement is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Roger V. Maes, Applicant

Innovation Law Group, Ltd.
Transforming Ideas Into Business Assets®
237 N. Sequim Avenue
Sequim, WA 98382-3456
Tel: 360 - 681 - 7305
Fax: 360 - 681 - 7315
e-mail: Dulin@InnovationLaw.com

10/25/05

by: 
Jacques M. Dulin, Reg. No. 24,067
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Date: October 25, 2005

Response to OA
10/25/05
7216-002